arXiv:1603.02368v2 [math.CO] 9 Apr 2016

A New Result on Packing Unit Squares into a Large Square

Shuang Wang[a], Tian Dong[*,a,1], Jiamin Li[a]

[a]*School of Mathematics, Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin 130012, China*

Abstract

In their 2009 note: *Packing equal squares into a large square*, Chung and Graham proved that the wasted area of a large square of side length x is $O\left(x^{(3+\sqrt{2})/7}\log x\right)$ after maximum number of non-overlapping unit squares are packed into it, which improved the earlier results of Erdős-Graham and Karabash-Soifer. Here we further improve the result to $O(x^{5/8})$ that also leads to an improvement of the bound for the dual problem: finding the minimum number of unit squares needed for covering the large square, from $x^2 + O\left(x^{(3+\sqrt{2})/7}\log x\right)$ to $x^2 + O(x^{5/8})$.

Key words: packing, covering, wasted area, Taylor's formula

1. Introduction

In 1975, Erdős and Graham [1] investigated the problem of packing a square of side length x with as many non-overlapping unit squares as possible. In other words, the wasted area should be as small as possible. From then on, the problem have already been well studied in the literature [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8], in which [2, 5, 6] focus on the case when x is large enough. Following [5], we call the problem Packing Waste Problem. Also, there is a dual problem, called

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: wangshuang@jlu.edu.cn (Shuang Wang), dongtian@jlu.edu.cn (Tian Dong), jmli@jlu.edu.cn (Jiamin Li)

[1]Tian Dong was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11101185 and 11171133.

Covering Waste Problem in [5], which is concerned with covering the square with minimium number of unit squares[5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12].

Erdős and Graham obtained the first estimation of Packing Waste Problem as $O(x^{7/11})$ [1]. Later, D. Karabash and A. Soifer in [9] gave the estimation of Covering Waste Problem as $O(x^{2/3})$ that was improved in [5] to $O(x^{7/11})$. In 2009, Chung and Graham [6] found the best previous bound $O\left(x^{(3+\sqrt{2})/7}\log x\right)$ for both problems.

In this paper we use basic analysis tools to improve the result of Chung and Graham to $O(x^{5/8})$ also for both problems.

2. Preliminary

Let A be a closed planar region and $S(A)$ the area of it. We define two functions

$$W(A) = S(A) - \sup s(A_\lambda),$$
$$W'(A) = \inf s(A'_\lambda) - S(A),$$

where $A_\lambda \subset A$ is a union set of non-overlapping unit squares, and $A'_\lambda \supset A$ is a union set of unit squares (non-overlapping is not necessary). Specially, when A is a square of side length x, we denote $W(A), W'(A)$ as $W(x), W'(x)$ respectively.

To our opinion, the basic task of Packing or Covering Waste Problem is packing or covering a strip of non-integer width [6], say m. Basic idea for packing a strip [6] is to pack stacks of non-overlapping unit squares of height $\lceil m\rceil$ into the strip as close to being orthogonal as possible (see Fig. 1), namely minimize the angle θ in Fig. 1 which satisfies

$$\lceil m\rceil \cos\theta + \sin\theta = m. \tag{1}$$

Let $r = m - \lfloor m\rfloor$. Obviously when $r = 0$, $\theta = 0$ trivially. Otherwise, we let $\theta = \alpha m^\beta + o(m^\beta)$. By comparing with the constant term of (1), we have

$$\theta = \sqrt{2-r}\; m^{-1/2} + o(m^{-1/2}).$$



Figure 1: Packing a strip of width m.

Similarly, as shown in Fig. 2, we also use stacks of unit squares of height $\lceil m \rceil$ (hereafter we will call the stacks as rectangles of size $1 \times \lceil m \rceil$ for simplicity) to cover the strip, then angle θ' in Fig. 2 satisfies

$$\lceil m \rceil \cos\theta' - \sin\theta' = m. \tag{2}$$

We also have $\theta' = 0$ when $r = 0$. If not, then

$$\theta' = \sqrt{2 - r}\; m^{-1/2} + o(m^{-1/2}).$$

Note that when $m \to \infty, \theta$ and θ' are less than $\sqrt{2}\; m^{-1/2}$.



Figure 2: Covering a strip of width m.

3. Packing Waste Problem

In this section, we will present our main result on Packing Waste Problem in Theorem 1. For the proof of it, three types of basic shapes are introduced as follows.

Type 1 shape Rectangle T_1 has a length x and width x' (see subfigure (a) of Fig. 3) satisfying $x^{3/4} \leq x' \leq cx$ with $c \leq 7$ a constant.

Type 2 shape Trapezoid T_2 has a height of x, a top edge of length x' (see subfigure (b) of Fig. 3) satisfying $x' \sim 2x^{1/2}$ and the angle θ between the right-hand side and a vertical line satisfying $0 < \theta < \sqrt{2}x^{-1/2}$.

Type 3 shape Trapezoid T_3 has a height $h \sim \frac{1}{2}x^{1/2}$ and a top edge of length a (see subfigure (c) of Fig. 3) where $a = \lfloor x^{1/3} + \sqrt{2}\, x^{1/6} \rfloor$ is an exact integer. The angle θ between the right-hand side and a vertical line satisfies $0 < \theta < \sqrt{2}x^{-1/2}$.



(a) Type 1 shape. (b) Type 2 shape. (c) Type 3 shape.

Figure 3: Three types of basic shapes.

The proof of Theorem 1 will be completed by an induction based on effective packings of these shapes.

Theorem 1. *Keep the notations above. Then*

(i) $W(T_1) \leq ((15 + c)\sqrt{2} + 38)x^{5/8}$.

(ii) $W(T_2) \leq (\frac{19}{2} + \frac{7}{2}\sqrt{2})x^{5/6}$.

(iii) $W(T_3) \le (\frac{19}{4} + \frac{7}{4}\sqrt{2})x^{1/3}$.

Specially, when T_1 is a square of side length x, then $W(x) \le (16\sqrt{2}+38)x^{5/8}$.

PROOF. (i) We partition Type 1 rectangle T_1 into a rectangle S_1 of size $m_1 \times (x - m_2)$, a rectangle S_2 of size $m_2 \times x'$, and an integer-sided rectangle T_1', where $m_1, m_2 \sim m = x^{3/4}$, as shown in Fig. 4. It is easy to see that T_1' can be perfectly packed, that is $W(T_1') = 0$. Next, we pack S_1 and S_2 with rectangles of size $1 \times \lceil m_1 \rceil$ and $1 \times \lceil m_2 \rceil$ respectively. Finally, only four regions $T_{2i}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, at each end of S_1 and S_2, left unfilled which clearly belong to Type 2 with height about m, a top edge of length $m' \sim 2m^{1/2}$, and $\theta < \sqrt{2}m^{-1/2}$.



Figure 4: Packing Type 1 rectangle.

Applying (ii), the wasted area

$$\begin{aligned} W(T_1) &\le 0 + x \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}\tan\theta + x' \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2}\tan\theta + \sum_{i=1}^{4} W(T_{2i}) \\ &\le (x + x') \cdot \sqrt{2}m^{-1/2} + 4(\frac{19}{2} + \frac{7}{2}\sqrt{2})m^{5/6} \\ &\le ((15 + c)\sqrt{2} + 38)x^{5/8}. \end{aligned}$$

Specially, when T_1 is a square of side length x, $W(x) \le (16\sqrt{2}+38)x^{5/8}$.

(ii) Now we partition the Type 2 trapezoid T_2 into rectangles $A_1, \cdots, A_s$

and Type 3 trapezoids $B_1, \cdots, B_s$ (see Fig. 5). Each B_i has height $h \sim \frac{1}{2}x^{1/2}$ and top edge of length integer a. Thus, $s \sim 2x^{1/2}$.



Figure 5: Packing Type 2 trapezoid.

Let a_i be the width of A_i. Then we have $x^{1/2} < a_i < (2+\sqrt{2})x^{1/2}, 2h < a_i < 2(2+\sqrt{2})h$. From (i), we obtain $W(A_i) = O(h^{5/8}) = O(x^{5/16})$, hence

$$W\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} A_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s} W(A_i) \leq O(x^{5/16}) \cdot s = O(x^{13/16}).$$

Further, (iii) implies that

$$W\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} B_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s} W(B_i) \leq \left(\frac{19}{4} + \frac{7}{4}\sqrt{2}\right) x^{1/3} \cdot s \leq (\frac{19}{2} + \frac{7}{2}\sqrt{2})x^{5/6},$$

which leads to the wasted area of T_2

$$W(T_2) \leq W\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} A_i\right) + W\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} B_i\right) \leq \left(\frac{19}{2} + \frac{7}{2}\sqrt{2}\right) x^{5/6}.$$

(iii) We will partition the Type 3 trapezoid T_3 into rectangles $C_0, \cdots, C_t$, $D_0, \cdots, D_t$ and F_1, triangles $E_0, \cdots, E_t$ with height $h_1 = \lfloor \frac{x^{-1/6}}{\tan\theta} \rfloor$ and F_2 with height h_2 satisfying $0 \leq h_2 < h_1$, as illustrated in Fig. 6. Here t satisfies

$$t < h/h_1 = \frac{1}{2}x^{1/2} \Big/ \left(\frac{x^{-1/6}}{\tan\theta} - r'\right) = \frac{x^{2/3}\tan\theta}{2(1 - r'x^{1/6}\tan\theta)} \leq \frac{1}{2}x^{2/3}\tan\theta,$$

where r' is the decimal part of $\frac{x^{-1/6}}{\tan\theta}$. The width of C_k, denoted by c_k, is set to be $\lfloor x^{1/3} + \sqrt{2}\ x^{1/6} \rfloor - \lfloor x^{1/3} + (\sqrt{2} - k)x^{1/6} \rfloor$, and therefore d_k, the width of

D_k, equals to $\lfloor x^{1/3} + (\sqrt{2} - k)x^{1/6} \rfloor + kh_1 \tan\theta$, $k = 0, \cdots, t$. Note that when $h_1 > h$, then the number of D_k is 0, but the result still holds.



Figure 6: Packing Type 3 trapezoid.

1) Obviously, each C_k can be packed perfectly with unit squares, thus

$$W\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{t} C_k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{t} W(C_k) = 0.$$

2) It is easy to see that each E_k can not be packed with unit squares. Thus

$$W\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{t} E_k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{t} \frac{1}{2} h_1^2 \tan\theta \le \frac{1}{4} x^{1/3}.$$

3) We will estimate $W(\bigcup_{k=0}^{t} D_k)$ as follows. Since d_0 is an integer, $W(D_0) = 0$. For $k = 1, \cdots, t$, $0 < kh_1 \tan\theta < \frac{1}{2} x^{1/2} \tan\theta < 1$ implies that $\lceil d_k \rceil = \lfloor x^{1/3} + (\sqrt{2} - k)x^{1/6} \rfloor + 1$. Let r_k be the decimal part of $x^{1/3} + (\sqrt{2} - k)x^{1/6}$. Then

$$\begin{cases} d_k &= x^{1/3} + (\sqrt{2} - k)x^{1/6} - r_k + kx^{-1/6} - kr' \tan\theta, \\ \lceil d_k \rceil &= x^{1/3} + (\sqrt{2} - k)x^{1/6} - r_k + 1. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Next, we will pack D_k with rectangles of size $1 \times \lceil d_k \rceil$ and estimate α_k more accurately than before. By (1), we obtain

$$\lceil d_k \rceil \cos\alpha_k + \sin\alpha_k = d_k. \tag{4}$$

Substitute (4) into (3), we have

$$(x^{1/3}+(\sqrt{2}-k)x^{1/6}-r_k)(1-\cos\alpha_k)=\cos\alpha_k+\sin\alpha_k-kx^{-1/6}+kr'\tan\theta. \quad (5)$$

Substitute Taylor's formulae for $\cos\alpha_k, \sin\alpha_k$,

$$\begin{cases} \cos\alpha_k &= 1-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_k^2+\frac{1}{24}\alpha_k^4+o(\alpha_k^5), \\ \sin\alpha_k &= \alpha_k-\frac{1}{6}\alpha_k^3+o(\alpha_k^4), \end{cases}$$

into (5) and set $\alpha_k = l_{k1}x^{-1/6}+l_{k2}x^{-1/3}+l_{k3}x^{-1/2}+o(x^{-1/2})$. Since $0 < kr'\tan\theta < x^{-1/3}$, we set $kr'\tan\theta = \gamma_k x^{-1/3}+o(x^{-1/3})$, it follows that $0 \le \gamma_k < 1$. Comparing the coefficients of terms x^0 and $x^{-1/6}$, on both sides of (5), we have

$$\alpha_k = \sqrt{2}x^{-1/6}+0\cdot x^{-1/3}+l_{k3}x^{-1/2}+o(x^{-1/2}).$$

Since $0 \le k < \frac{1}{2}x^{2/3}\tan\theta < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x^{1/6}$, we set $k=\beta_k x^{1/6}+o(x^{1/6})$, it follows that $0 \le \beta_k < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$. Comparing the coefficients of terms $x^{-1/3}$, on both sides of (5), we have

$$\alpha_k = \sqrt{2}x^{-1/6}+0\cdot x^{-1/3}+\frac{r_k+\gamma_k-\frac{1}{6}\beta_k-\frac{5}{6}}{\sqrt{2}(1-\beta_k)}x^{-1/2}+o(x^{-1/2}).$$

Hence $|\alpha_k-\alpha_{k-1}| \le 3(1+\sqrt{2})x^{-1/2}, k=2,\cdots,t$.

We pack D_k as follows. First, we leave a Type 2 trapezoid D_{11} at the top of D_1. Second, for $k=2,\cdots,t$, we pack D_{k-1} with rectangles of size $1\times\lceil d_{k-1}\rceil$ when $b_k \ge \frac{1}{\cos\alpha_{k-1}}$. If not, we pack D_k with rectangles of size $1\times\lceil d_k\rceil$ (see Fig. 7). When $\alpha_{k-1}\ge\alpha_k$, the wasted region between D_{k-1} and D_k consists of a triangle X_{k1} and trapezoids X_{k2}, X_{k3}. The case of $\alpha_{k-1}<\alpha_k$ can be treated in similar fashion. Last, we leave Type 2 trapezoid D_{t1} at the bottom of D_t.

The total wasted area of both ends of rectangles of size $1\times\lceil d_k\rceil, k=1,\cdots,t$, is less than $\sum_{k=1}^{t} h_1\cdot 2\cdot\frac{1}{2}\cdot 1^2\tan\alpha_k < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x^{1/3}$. By (ii), $W(D_{11})+W(D_{t1}) \le O(d_1^{5/6})+O(d_t^{5/6}) = O(x^{5/18})$. The wasted area between D_{k-1} and D_k is $S(X_{k1})+S(X_{k2})+S(X_{k3}) < \frac{1}{2}(x^{1/3})^2\cdot 3(1+\sqrt{2})x^{-1/2}+\frac{1}{2}(1+1+\sqrt{2})x^{1/6}+O(x^{1/6})O(x^{-1/6}) \le (\frac{5}{2}+2\sqrt{2})x^{1/6}$, which implies that the total wasted area of these joints is bounded by $(\frac{5}{2}+2\sqrt{2})x^{1/6}\cdot t < (\frac{5}{4}\sqrt{2}+2)x^{1/3}$. Thus,

$$W\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{t} D_k\right) < 0+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x^{1/3}+O(x^{5/18})+\left(\frac{5}{4}\sqrt{2}+2\right)x^{1/3} \le \left(\frac{7}{4}\sqrt{2}+2\right)x^{1/3}.$$



Figure 7: The wasted region between D_{k-1} and D_k.

4) At last, we will estimate $W(F_1)$ and $W(F_2)$. The height of the rectangle F_1 satisfies $0 \le h_2 < \min(h, h_1)$, and the width of it, denoted by f_1, satisfies $f_1 \sim x^{1/3}$. When $0 \le h_2 \le x^{1/3}$, we pack $\lfloor h_2 \rfloor \times \lfloor f_1 \rfloor$ unit squares into F_1, then $W(F_1) < h_2 + f_1 < 2x^{1/3}$. When $x^{1/3} < h_2 \le h$, we pack F_1 with rectangles of size $1 \times \lceil f_1 \rceil$, as shown in Fig. 8, where F_{11}, F_{12} are Type 2 trapezoids. Since $W(F_{11}) + W(F_{12}) = O(x^{5/18})$, the total wasted area of both ends of the rectangles of size $1 \times \lceil f_1 \rceil$ is less than $h \cdot \sqrt{2}x^{-1/6} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x^{1/3}$, so $W(F_1) < O(x^{5/18}) + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x^{1/3} < x^{1/3}$. To sum up, $W(F_1) < 2x^{1/3}$. We estimate $W(F_2)$ in two cases, too. When $0 < \theta < x^{-2/3}$, $W(F_2) < S(F_2) < \frac{1}{2}h^2 \tan\theta < \frac{1}{8}x^{1/3}$. When $x^{-2/3} \le \theta < \sqrt{2}x^{-1/2}$, $W(F_2) < S(F_2) < \frac{1}{2}h_1^2 \tan\theta < \frac{1}{2}x^{1/3}$. Therefore, $W(F_2) < \frac{1}{2}x^{1/3}$ which implies $W(F) \le W(F_1) + W(F_2) < \frac{5}{2}x^{1/3}$.



Figure 8: Packing F_1 in the case of $x^{1/3} < h_2 \le h$.

Now, it follows from 1), 2), 3), 4) that the total wasted area

$$W(T_3) \leq 0 + \frac{1}{4}x^{1/3} + (\frac{7}{4}\sqrt{2}+2)x^{1/3} + \frac{5}{2}x^{1/3} = (\frac{19}{4} + \frac{7}{4}\sqrt{2})x^{1/3}$$

which completes the induction step. For $x \leq 100$, $W(T_1) \leq (1+c)x$. Because $c \leq 7, (1+c)x^{3/8} < 48 < 15\sqrt{2}+38 < (15+c)\sqrt{2}+38, W(T_1) \leq (1+c)x < ((15+c)\sqrt{2}+38)x^{5/8}$, the proof of the initial step of the induction is completed.

4. Covering Waste Problem

Similarly, we can obtain the result of Covering Waste Problem. Note that in type 3 shape Trapezoid T_3, a top edge of length a is modified, $a = \lfloor x^{1/3} - \sqrt{2}\ x^{1/6} \rfloor$.

Theorem 2. *Keep the notations above. Then*

(i) $W'(T_1) \leq ((15+c)\sqrt{2}+38)x^{5/8}$.

(ii) $W'(T_2) \leq (\frac{19}{2} + \frac{7}{2}\sqrt{2})x^{5/6}$.

(iii) $W'(T_3) \leq (\frac{19}{4} + \frac{7}{4}\sqrt{2})x^{1/3}$.

Specially, when T_1 is a square of side length x, then $W'(x) \leq (16\sqrt{2}+38)x^{5/8}$.

Proof.

(i) This can be proved in a similar argument to the one of (i) of Theorem 1.

(ii) This can be proved in a similar argument to the one of (ii) of Theorem 1.

(iii) We consider a coverage of Type 3 trapezoid T_3 with rectangles $C_k, D_k, k = 1, \cdots, t$, with height $h_1 = \lfloor \frac{x^{-1/6}}{\tan\theta'} \rfloor$ and a rectangle F_1 with height h_2 satisfying $0 \leq h_2 < h_1$. The width of C_k, denoted by c_k, is set to be $\lfloor x^{1/3} - \sqrt{2}\ x^{1/6} \rfloor - \lfloor x^{1/3} - (\sqrt{2}+k)x^{1/6} \rfloor$, and therefore the width of D_k, denoted by d_k, is equal to $\lfloor x^{1/3} - (\sqrt{2}+k)x^{1/6} \rfloor + kh_1 \tan\theta', k = 1, \cdots, t$. It is easy to verify that the width of F_1, denoted by f_1, equals to $a + h\tan\theta'$ and $0 \leq t < \frac{1}{2}x^{2/3}\tan\theta'$. Set

$E_k = D_k \setminus T_3, k = 1, \cdots, t, F_2 = F_1 \setminus T_3$ (see Fig. 9), then

$$\begin{aligned} T_3 &= \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{t} C_k\right) \bigcup \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{t} D_k\right) \bigcup F_1 \setminus \left(\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{t} E_k\right) \bigcup F_2\right), \\ W'(T_3) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{t} W'(C_k) + \sum_{k=1}^{t} S(E_k) + W'\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{t} D_k\right) + W'(F_1) + W'(F_2). \end{aligned}$$



Figure 9: Covering Type 3 trapezoid.

1) Obviously, $\sum_{k=1}^{t} W'(C_k) = 0$.

2) $\sum_{k=1}^{t} S(E_k) = \sum_{k=1}^{t} \frac{1}{2} h_1^2 \tan\theta' \leq \frac{1}{4} x^{1/3}$.

3) We estimate $W'(\bigcup_{k=1}^{t} D_k)$ as follows. For $k = 1, \cdots, t$, we want to cover D_k with rectangles of size $1 \times \lceil d_k \rceil$ and estimate α_k more accurately. Similar to (iii) of Theorem 1, we can obtain

$$|\alpha_k - \alpha_{k-1}| \leq 3(1 + \sqrt{2}) x^{-1/2}, k = 2, \cdots, t.$$

We cover D_k as follows. First, we leave Type 2 trapezoid D_{t1} at the bottom of D_t. Second, for $k = t, \cdots, 2$, we cover D_k with rectangles of size $1 \times \lceil d_k \rceil$. When rectangles of size $1 \times \lceil d_k \rceil$ cover the right lower point of D_{k-1}, we cover D_{k-1} with rectangles of size $1 \times \lceil d_{k-1} \rceil$, as shown in Fig. 10. When $\alpha_{k-1} \geq \alpha_k$, there are a triangle X_{k1} and trapezoids X_{k2}, X_{k3} between D_{k-1} and D_k needed to be solved further in the following. As shown in the figure, b_k is the bottom edge of X_{k3}. The case of $\alpha_{k-1} < \alpha_k$ can be treated similarly. At last, we leave Type 2 trapezoid D_{11} at the top of D_1.



Figure 10: The wasted area between D_{k-1} and D_k for covering.

The total wasted area of both ends of the rectangles of size $1 \times \lceil d_k \rceil, k = 1, \cdots, t$, is less than $\sum_{k=1}^{t} h_1 \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1^2 \tan \alpha_k < \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} x^{1/3}$. By (ii) of Theorem 2, $W'(D_{11}) + W'(D_{t1}) \leq O(d_1^{5/6}) + O(d_t^{5/6}) = O(x^{5/18})$. It is easy to see that $c_{k-1} - c_k$, the height of X_{k2}, is an exact integer. Let the bottom edge of X_{k2} be b'_{k2}. We cover X_{k2} with rectangles of size $(c_{k-1} - c_k) \times \lceil b'_{k2} \rceil$. The wasted area between D_{k-1} and D_k is $S(X_{k1}) + W'(X_{k2}) + S(X_{k3}) < \frac{1}{2}(x^{1/3})^2 \cdot 3(1 + \sqrt{2})x^{-1/2} + \frac{1}{2}(1+1+\sqrt{2})x^{1/6} + O(x^{1/6})O(x^{-1/6}) \leq (\frac{5}{2} + 2\sqrt{2})x^{1/6}$, which implies that the total wasted area of these joints is bounded by $(\frac{5}{2} + 2\sqrt{2})x^{1/6} \cdot t < (\frac{5}{4}\sqrt{2} + 2)x^{1/3}$. Thus,

$$W'\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{t} D_k\right) < 0 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}x^{1/3} + O(x^{5/18}) + \left(\frac{5}{4}\sqrt{2} + 2\right)x^{1/3} \leq \left(\frac{7}{4}\sqrt{2} + 2\right)x^{1/3}.$$

125 4)At last, $W'(F_1) + W'(F_2) < \frac{5}{2}x^{1/3}$. The proof is similar to 4) of (iii) of Theorem 1.

By 1), 2), 3), 4), we obtain the total wasted area

$$W'(T_3) \leq 0 + \frac{1}{4}x^{1/3} + \left(\frac{7}{4}\sqrt{2} + 2\right)x^{1/3} + \frac{5}{2}x^{1/3} = \left(\frac{19}{4} + \frac{7}{4}\sqrt{2}\right)x^{1/3}.$$

The proof of the induction step is omitted.

References

[1] P. Erdös, R. L. Graham, On packing squares with equal squares, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 19 (1975) 119–123.

[2] K. F. Roth, R. C. Vaughan, Inefficiency in packing squares with unit squares, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 24 (1978) 170–186.

[3] W. Stromquist, Packing unit squares inside squares i, ii, iii, unpublished manuscripts.
URL `http://www.walterstromquist.com/publications.html`

[4] W. Stromquist, Packing 10 or 11 unit squares in a square, Electron. J. Combin. 10 (2003) #R8.

[5] D. Karabash, A. Soifer, Note on covering a square with equal squares, Geombinatorics 18 (2008) 13–17.

[6] F. Chung, R. Graham, Packing equal squares into a large square, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 116 (2009) 1167–1175.

[7] E. Friedman, Packing unit squares in squares: A survey and new results, Electron. J. Combin. (2009) #DS7.

[8] W. Bentz, Optimal packings of 13 and 46 unit squares in a square, Electron. J. Combin. 17 (2010) #R126.

[9] D. Karabash, A. Soifer, A sharp upper bound for cover-up squares, Geombinatorics 16 (2006) 219–226.

[10] A. Soifer, Covering a square of side $n + \varepsilon$ with unit squares, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 113 (2006) 380–388.

[11] E. Friedman, D. Paterson, Covering squares with unit squares, Geombinatorics 15 (2006) 130–137.

[12] J. Januszewski, A note on covering a square of side length $2 + \varepsilon$ with unit squares, Amer. Math. Monthly 19 (2009) 174–178.